

\$1.00

VISALIA CITY COUNCIL DEBATES OAK TREE PROTECTION ORDINANCE

80%

20%



June 26, 2025 — Visalia, California

By Francisco Ramirez Jr

(559) 585-1500

At its June 16, 2025 meeting, the Visalia City Council revisited its decades-old **Oak Tree Protection Ordinance**, sparking a robust debate over property rights, environmental values, and neighborhood aesthetics.

First enacted in 1971 and expanded in 1974, the ordinance requires property owners to obtain a permit before pruning or removing **valley oaks**—a species native to California and deeply tied to Visalia's historical landscape. These preservation rules were designed to maintain the city's tree canopy, which contributes to both environmental <u>health</u> and community character.

However, the ordinance is now under scrutiny. Several councilmembers and residents have raised concerns that the current policy imposes excessive <u>red tape</u> on homeowners, especially those with trees that may pose safety risks during storms or drought conditions.

"Homeowners shouldn't be penalized for trying to protect their families or <u>prevent</u> property damage," said one councilmember during public comment. Supporters of reform argue that requiring detailed applications and inspections for routine tree trimming discourages timely maintenance and can lead to greater hazards in the long run.

KNN News © 2025. All rights reserved. This content may be printed for personal use only. Unauthorized reproduction, distribution, or reprinting for commercial purposes is prohibited without prior written permission from KNN. For licensing or reprint requests, contact support@knn.one.



On the other hand, environmental advocates and longtime residents urged the council to tread carefully. They warned that loosening restrictions could result in the gradual disappearance of <u>Visalia's</u> signature **oak-lined streets**, which have been part of the city's charm for over a century. Mature oaks not only provide essential shade in the summer but also help regulate air quality and offer habitat for birds and other wildlife.

City staff presented a possible **compromise**, proposing a streamlined permit process for minor pruning or the removal of smaller, less-risky trees. This might include clearer guidance on what types of maintenance require approval, a simplified application for low-risk cases, and a modest fee to support certified arborist evaluations.

Councilmembers appeared generally supportive of a balanced approach—one that respects the needs of individual property owners while preserving the broader environmental and cultural benefits of the city's urban forest.

The <u>council</u> is expected to revisit the matter at its July meeting, where a formal draft of revised tree conservation regulations may be introduced. If adopted, changes could take effect later this year.